Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 115, No. 3, August 2003, pp. 309–318.
Printed in India

arXiv:math/0508494v1 [math.DG] 25 Aug 2005

Estimates and nonexistence of solutions of the scalar curvature equation on noncompact manifolds

ZHANG ZONGLAO

Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou Normal College, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325 000,
People's Republic of China
E-mail: zonglao@sohu.com

MS received 7 January 2004

Abstract. This paper is to study the conformal scalar curvature equation on complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature. We derive some estimates and properties of supersolutions of the scalar curvature equation, and obtain some nonexistence results for complete solutions of scalar curvature equation.

Keywords. Complete manifold; scalar curvature equation; conformal metric.

1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with metric g_0 and scalar curvature $k(x)$. The problem of the conformal deformation of scalar curvature is to find conditions on the function $K(x)$ so that $K(x)$ is the scalar curvature of a conformally related metric $g = \rho(x)g_0$, here $\rho(x)$ is some positive function on M. As is well-known, let $\rho = u^{4/(n-2)}$ for $n \geq 3$. Then this problem is equivalent to finding a positive solution of the following equation (the so-called (conformal) scalar curvature equation):

$$c_n\Delta u - ku + Ku^{\sigma} = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $c_n = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}, \sigma = \frac{n+2}{n-2}, \Delta u$ is the Laplacian of u with respect to the metric g_0. If u is a positive solution of eq. (1.1) such that the metric $g = u^{4/(n-2)}g_0$ is complete, we call u to be complete. If M is noncompact, usually one seeks complete solutions of eq. (1.1).

The problem of conformal deformation of scalar curvature has been extensively studied by many authors in recent years [1,2,7,8,9]. However, this problem is far from being settled, especially if M is noncompact.

The purpose of this paper is to continue to study the problem of conformal deformation of scalar curvature. We consider the case when M is complete and noncompact. We will derive some estimates and properties of supersolutions of eq. (1.1), and obtain some nonexistence results for complete solutions of (1.1). If M is noncompact, in order to obtain a positive solution of (1.1), one usually exploits the method of supersolution–subsolution. To do so, one usually needs a positive supersolution bounded from below by a positive constant or a positive subsolution bounded from above by a constant as in [1,2,7,8,9]. Here we will see that, under some suitable assumptions, the supersolutions of (1.1) have no positive constant lower bound for a large class of the functions K.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we introduce some notations and give some results that will be needed in §3. In §3 we will state and prove the main results of our paper.

2. Preliminaries

We call M a CH manifold if it is a complete simply-connected C^∞ Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature [6]. If M is a CH manifold, by the well-known Cartan–Hadamard Theorem, for every $o \in M$, the exponential mapping $\exp_o\colon M_o \to M$ is a diffeomorphism [3], where M_o denotes the tangent space to M at o. This diffeomorphism gives a global normal coordinate neighborhood of M center at o. Denote by x the coordinates of points and by (r,θ) the (geodesic) polar coordinates around o, where $r = r(x) \equiv \operatorname{dist}(o,x)$ is the geodesic distance from o.

We call a CH manifold M to be strongly symmetric around $o \in M$ if every linear isometry $\phi\colon M_o \to M_o$ is realized as the differential of an isometry $\Phi\colon M \to M$, i.e., $\Phi(o) = o$ and $\Phi_*(o) = \phi$, where $\Phi_*(o)$ denotes the differential of Φ at o. For a more detailed discussion about strongly symmetric manifold, we refer the reader to [6] (where the authors use the term ‘model’ instead of ‘strongly symmetric manifold’).

From now on we will assume that M is an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o, where o is a fixed point in M. Let g_0 be the metric of M and $k(x)$ the scalar curvature of g_0. We always assume $n = \dim M \geq 3$.

In the polar coordinates, the metric g_0 is expressed by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \sum_{i,j} \mathrm{d}_{ij}\mathrm{d}\theta^i \mathrm{d}\theta^j = \mathrm{d}r^2 + h(r)^2 \mathrm{d}\Theta^2 \tag{2.1}$$

on $M - \{o\}$ [6]. Here $\mathrm{d}_{ij} = g_0\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^j}\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}\Theta^2$ denotes the canonical metric on the unit sphere of M_o. Let S_r be the geodesic sphere of M with center o and radius r. The Riemannian volume element of S_r can be written as

$$\mathrm{d}S_r = \sqrt{D(r,\theta)}\,\mathrm{d}\theta^1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\theta^{n-1}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $D \equiv \det(\mathrm{d}_{ij})$. We will denote by $V(r)$ the volume of S_r.

If $u(r)$ is a C^2 function defined on $(0,\infty)$, we can consider it as a function defined on $M - \{o\}$. A calculation shows

$$\Delta u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \partial_r(\sqrt{D}\partial_r u), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Delta r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \partial_r(\sqrt{D}) = \partial_r \log \sqrt{D} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\Delta u = u'' + (\Delta r) u'. \tag{2.5}$$

We can define a scalar product operation η on M as follows:

$$\eta : \mathbf{R} \times M \to M;\ (t,(r,\theta)) \mapsto (tr,\theta). \tag{2.6}$$

We also write $\eta(r,x) \equiv rx$.

3. The main results

In this section we will state and prove our main results. To do so, we first introduce a notation.

Let M be an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o. If f is a continuous function on M, define

$$\bar{f}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{V(r)} \int_{S_r} f \mathrm{d}S_r = \frac{1}{V(1)} \int_{S_1} [f(r\xi)] \mathrm{d}S_1, \quad (\xi \in S_1) \tag{3.1}$$

(the second equality is by (2.1)).

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o with metric g_0. Let k be the scalar curvature of the metric g_0, and let $K \in C^\infty(M)$. Suppose $n \geq 3$. If u is a C^2 positive supersolution of equation (1.1) on M, set $\alpha = 1 - \sigma$ and $v = u^\alpha$, then $\bar{v}$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$\bar{v}(r) \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)} \int_{B(s)} K \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}s \tag{3.2}$$

for all $r \geq 0$, here $B(s)$ is the geodesic ball of radius s and center o, and $\mathrm{d}\mu$ the volume element of M.

Proof.

Step 1. We first prove that v satisfies the following inequality

$$\Delta v \geq \frac{1}{n-1}(K - kv). \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, a computation shows that

$$\Delta v = \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \Delta u + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} u^{-\alpha} |\Delta u^\alpha|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Since u is a positive supersolution of eq. (1.1) and $\alpha = -\frac{4}{n-2} < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v &\geq \frac{\alpha}{c_n} u^{\alpha-1}(ku - Ku^\sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1}(K - kv). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We prove that $\bar{v}$ satisfies

$$\Delta \bar{v} \geq \frac{1}{n-1}(\bar{K} - k\bar{v}). \tag{3.5}$$

By the definition (3.1) of $\bar{v}(r)$, for any $r > 0$, we have

$$\bar{v}'(r) = \frac{1}{V(1)} \int_{S_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r\xi) \mathrm{d}S_1 = \frac{1}{V(r)} \int_{S_r} \partial_r v \mathrm{d}S_r.$$

So for $r > 0$,

$$\bar{v}'(r) V(r) = \int_{S_r} \partial_r v \mathrm{d}S_r. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, for $r > 0$, by the divergence theorem [4,5] we have

$$\int_{B(r)} \Delta v \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{S_r} \partial_r v \mathrm{d}S_r = \bar{v}'(r)V(r). \tag{3.7}$$

But we also have

$$\int_{B(r)} \Delta v \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_0^r \int_{S_t} \Delta v \mathrm{d}S_t \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.8}$$

So from (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_r} \Delta v \mathrm{d}S_r &= \left[\bar{v}'(r)V(r)\right]' \\ &= V(r)\left\{\bar{v}''(r) + \frac{V'(r)}{V(r)}\bar{v}'(r)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, by (2.2) and (2.4),

$$V'(r) = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\cdots\int_0^{\pi} \frac{\partial_r\sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{D}}\sqrt{D}\mathrm{d}\theta^1\cdots\mathrm{d}\theta^{n-2}\mathrm{d}\theta^{n-1} = V(r)\Delta r,$$

so we get

$$\Delta r = \frac{V'(r)}{V(r)}. \tag{3.10}$$

From (2.5), (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_r} \Delta v \mathrm{d}S_r &= V(r)\left\{\bar{v}''(r) + (\Delta r)\bar{v}'(r)\right\} \\ &= V(r)\Delta\bar{v}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $r > 0$, we obtain

$$\Delta\bar{v}(r) = \frac{1}{V(r)}\int_{S_r} \Delta v \mathrm{d}S_r. \tag{3.11}$$

Now from (3.3) and (3.11) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\bar{v}(r) &\geq \frac{1}{(n-1)V(r)}\int_{S_r}(K - kv)\mathrm{d}S_r \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1}(\bar{K} - k\bar{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. We are now ready to prove inequality (3.2).

Integrating (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(r)} \Delta\bar{v}(r)\mathrm{d}\mu &\geq \frac{1}{n-1}\int_{B(r)}(\bar{K} - k\bar{v})\mathrm{d}\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \{\bar{K}(t) - k(t)\bar{v}(t)\}V(t)\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

It is easy to prove that $\bar{v}'(r)$ is continuous on $[0,\infty)$ and $\bar{v}'(0) = 0$. Then, by the divergence theorem, (3.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{v}'(r)V(r) &= \int_{S_r} \bar{v}'(r)\mathrm{d}S_r \\
&= \int_{B(r)} \Delta\bar{v}(r)\mathrm{d}\mu \\
&\geq \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \{\bar{K}(t) - k(t)\bar{v}(t)\}V(t)\mathrm{d}t,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\bar{v}'(r) \geq \frac{1}{(n-1)V(r)}\int_0^r \{\bar{K}(t) - k(t)\bar{v}(t)\}V(t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $k \leq 0$. Integrating (3.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{v}(r) &\geq \bar{v}(0) + \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_0^s \{\bar{K}(t) - k(t)\bar{v}(t)\}V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \\
&\geq \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_0^s \bar{K}(t)V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus (3.2) is established, and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o with $n \geq 3$. Let k be the scalar curvature of M, and let $K \in C^\infty(M)$. Assume u is a C^2 positive supersolution of eq.* (1.1) *on M. If we have either*

(a)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s = +\infty \tag{3.15}$$

or

(b) *for r large, $\int_{B(r)} K\mathrm{d}\mu \geq 0$, and*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} |k|\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s = +\infty, \tag{3.16}$$

then

$$\inf_{x\in M} u(x) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. As in Theorem 3.1, set $\alpha = 1-\sigma$ and $v = u^\alpha$, then $\bar{v}$ satisfies inequalities (3.13) and (3.14).

If (a) holds, it is obvious that $\bar{v}(\infty) = \infty$, and hence $\sup_{x\in M} v(x) = \infty$. Since $\alpha < 0$, we see that $\inf_{x\in M} u(x) = 0$.

If (b) holds, then there exists $\tau > 0$ such that for all $r \geq \tau, \int_{B(r)} K\mathrm{d}\mu \geq 0$. From (3.13) we have that for $r \geq \tau, \bar{v}'(r) \geq 0$ and hence $\bar{v}(r)$ is increasing. This means that if we set $C = \inf \bar{v}(r)$, then $C > 0$. From (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{v}(r) &\geq \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_0^s \bar{K}(t)V(t)\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_0^s |k(t)|V(t)\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s + \frac{C}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} |k|\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1}\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{n-1}\int_\tau^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{n-1}\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(s)}\int_{B(s)} |k|\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that $\bar{v}(\infty) = \infty$. Then (3.17) follows as in Case (a). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished. □

To show the following theorems, we need a lemma. This result itself is an interesting property of CH manifolds.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o. As before, let S_r be the geodesic sphere of M with center o and radius r, and $V(r)$ the volume of S_r. Then for every $\delta \in [0,1)$,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}} = +\infty. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Since the sectional curvatures of M are less than or equal to zero, by the volume comparison theorem (comparing with the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$) [3,4], we have $\mathrm{vol}(B(r)) \geq r^n\omega_n$, where $\mathrm{vol}(B(r))$ denotes the volume of the ball $B(r) = \{x \in M,\ \mathrm{dist}(o,x) < r\}$ and ω_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Hence we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\int_0^r V(t)\mathrm{d}t}{r^{n-1+\delta}} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B(r))}{r^{n-1+\delta}} = +\infty. \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, by the Laplacian comparison theorem (see p. 26 of [6]) (again comparing with the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$), we have

$$\Delta r \geq \Delta_0 r = \frac{n-1}{r}, \tag{3.20}$$

for $r > 0$. Here Δ_0 denotes the Laplacian of $\mathbf{R}^n$. So for $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}}\right)' &= \frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}}\left(\frac{V'(r)}{V(r)} - \frac{n-2+\delta}{r}\right) \\ &= \frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}}\left(\Delta r - \frac{n-2+\delta}{r}\right) \\ &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}}$ is increasing and hence $\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{V(r)}{r^{n-2+\delta}}$ exists (may be $+\infty$). Then using the L' Hôpital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\int_0^r V(t)\mathrm{d}t}{r^{n-1+\delta}}=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{V(r)}{(n-1+\delta)r^{n-2+\delta}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Then (3.18) follows immediately from (3.19) and (3.21). □

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an n-dimensional strongly symmetric CH manifold around o with $n\ge 3$. Let k be the scalar curvature of M, and let $K\in C^\infty(M)$ such that, for r large, $\int_{B(r)}K\mathrm{d}\mu\ge 0$. Assume u is a C^2 positive supersolution of eq. (1.1) on M. If we have either*

(a) $\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{B(r)}K\mathrm{d}\mu=+\infty$, *and*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^2\bar{K}(r)}{r\Delta r-1}=\beta,\quad \textit{where}\quad \beta>0\ \textit{ or }\ \beta=+\infty \tag{3.22}$$

or

(b) $\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{B(r)}|k|\mathrm{d}\mu=+\infty$, *and*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^2|k(r)|}{r\Delta r-1}=\gamma,\quad \textit{where}\quad \gamma>0\ \textit{ or }\ \gamma=+\infty, \tag{3.23}$$

then

$$\inf_{x\in M}u(x)=0. \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. We only prove that condition (a) implies (3.24). The proof for (b) is similar to that of (a). From Theorem 3.2, by the limit comparison test for improper integrals, we only need to prove that $\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r}{V(r)}\int_{B(r)}K\mathrm{d}\mu=\lambda$, where $\lambda>0$ or $\lambda=+\infty$. In fact, from the assumption of the theorem and Lemma (3.3) we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_0^r\bar{K}(t)V(t)\mathrm{d}t=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{V(r)}{r}=+\infty.$$

So by the L'Hôpital's rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r}{V(r)}\int_{B(r)}K\mathrm{d}\mu&=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\int_0^r\bar{K}(t)V(t)\mathrm{d}t}{\frac{V(r)}{r}}\\&=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\bar{K}(r)V(r)}{\left(\frac{V(r)}{r}\right)'}\\&=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^2\bar{K}(r)}{r\Delta r-1}\\&=\beta.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 3.5. Let $\mathbf{H}^n(-c^2)$ be the n-dimensional (simply-connected and complete) hyperbolic space form with constant sectional curvature $-c^2$ $(c > 0)$. If $M = \mathbf{H}^n(-c^2)$ with $n \geq 3$, then for any $K \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\int_{B(r)} K\mathrm{d}\mu \geq 0$ for r large, it is easy to verify that the condition (b) of Theorem 3.4 is satisfied since $\Delta r = (n-1)c\coth(cr)$, and hence for any C^2 positive supersolution u of eq. (1.1), we have $\inf_{x\in M} u(x) = 0$. Comparing with theorem 1.4 in [8], this is very different from the case of the Euclidean space. Hence the method used by Ni to obtain the existence results for eq. (1.1) in $\mathbf{R}^n$ is no longer valid in the case of hyperbolic space forms.

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a CH manifold with metric g_0. Suppose M is strongly symmetric around o with respect to the metric g_0 and $n = \dim(M) \geq 3$. Let $k(r)$ be the scalar curvature of the metric g_0 and $K(r(x)) \in C^\infty(M)$. If there is $a > 0$ such that, for $r \geq a, \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}t > 0$ and*

$$\int_a^\infty \left[\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}t\right]^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}r < +\infty, \tag{3.25}$$

then there exists no complete metric g on M such that

(a) *g is (pointwise) conformal to the metric g_0,*
(b) *M is strongly symmetric around o with respect to the metric g,*
(c) *$K(r)$ is the scalar curvature of the metric g.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that g is a complete metric on M satisfying (a)–(c). Since g is conformal to the metric g_0, there exists a C^∞ positive function u such that $g = u^{4/(n-2)}g_0$ and u is a solution of eq. (1.1). It is obvious that $u = u(r)$ since the metrics g and g_0 are both strongly symmetric around o. Set $v(r) = [u(r)]^{-4/(n-2)}$. Then by Theorem (3.1), $v(r)$ satisfies

$$v(r) \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}t.$$

Therefore we get

$$[u(r)]^{2/(n-2)} \leq \left\{\frac{1}{n-1} \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}t\right\}^{-1/2}$$

for $r \geq a$. By (3.25), this implies

$$\int_a^\infty [u(r)]^{2/(n-2)}\mathrm{d}r \leq \int_a^\infty \left\{\frac{1}{n-1} \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}t\right\}^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}r < +\infty.$$

That is, for the metric g, the ray $\varphi = \{(r, \theta_0) | a \leq r < \infty\}$ has finite length for a fixed θ_0. Thus the metric g is not complete. This is a contradiction. □

COROLLARY 3.7.

Let M, g_0, o and $k(r)$ be as in Theorem 3.6. *Assume the sectional curvature* $\sec(g_0)$ *of g_0 satisfies $-c^2 \leq \sec(g_0) \leq 0$ for some positive constant c. If $K(r) \in C^\infty(M)$, and for some positive constant δ, we have*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{K(r)}{r^{1+\delta}} = +\infty, \tag{3.26}$$

then there exists no complete metric g on M satisfying the conditions (*a*)–(*c*) *in Theorem* 3.6.

Proof. By (3.26), it is easy to show that there is $a > 0$ such that $\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}t > 0$ for $r \geq a$. To prove the corollary, it is sufficient to show that condition (3.25) is satisfied. This can done by using the limit comparison test for improper integral.

In fact, from the assumption of the theorem for sectional curvature and the well-known Laplacian comparison theorem [6], we have

$$\frac{n-1}{r} \leq \Delta r \leq (n-1)c\coth(cr). \tag{3.27}$$

So Δr is bounded as $r \to \infty$.

On the other hand, by (3.26) it is obvious that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu = \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t K(s)V(s)\mathrm{d}s = +\infty.$$

Thus by the L'Hôpital's rule, (3.26) and (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu}{V(t)} &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{K(t)V(t)}{V'(t)} \\ &= \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{K(r)}{\Delta r} \\ &\geq \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{K(r)}{(n-1)c\coth(cr)} \\ &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}t = +\infty.$$

Now by the L'Hôpital's rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^{2+\delta}}{\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}t} &= \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{(2+\delta)r^{1+\delta}V(r)}{\int_0^r K(t)V(t)\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= (2+\delta) \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{(1+\delta)r^{\delta}V(r) + r^{1+\delta}V'(r)}{K(r)V(r)} \\ &= (2+\delta) \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^{1+\delta}}{K(r)} \left(\frac{1+\delta}{r} + \Delta r\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^{\frac{2+\delta}{2}}}{\left[\int_0^r \frac{1}{V(t)} \int_{B(t)} K \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}t\right]^{1/2}} = 0.$$

Then (3.25) follows by the limit comparison test. This completes the proof of the corollary. □

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Xu Zongben for his constant help. This work is supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Wenzhou Normal College (No. 2003z12).

References

[1] Aviles P and McOwen R, Conformal deformations of complete manifolds with negative curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* **21** (1985) 269–281
[2] Aviles P and McOwen R, Conformal deformation to constant negative scalar curvature on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **27** (1988) 225–239
[3] Bishop R and Crittenden R, Geometry of manifolds (New York-London: Academic Press) (1964)
[4] Chavel I, Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry (New York: Academic Press) (1984)
[5] Gilbarg D and Trudinger N S, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd ed. (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) (1983)
[6] Greene R and Wu H, Function theory on manifolds which possess a pole, *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag) (1979) vol. 669
[7] Jin Z R, Prescribing scalar curvatures on the conformal classes of complete metrics with negative curvature, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **340** (1993) 785–810
[8] Ni W M, On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$, its generalizations, and applications in geometry, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982) 495–529
[9] Zhang Zonglao, On the equation $\Delta u - hu + fu^p = 0$ and conformal deformations of Riemannian metrics on CH mainfolds (in Chinese), *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **22A** (2002) 135–144